

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/434,565	11/12/1999	MASAMI OGATA	450100-02184	4104
20999 7	590 05/19/2003			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			CHEN, WENPENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	6
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•••		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/434,565	OGATA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Wenpeng Chen	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-116 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-116 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tr. PTO-326 (Rev		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 2624

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-31, drawn to Fig. 1, classified in class 382, subclass 275.
- II. Claims 31-116, drawn to Figs. 9, 10, 27, classified in class 382, subclass 276.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because:

- -- for example, Claim 31 as shown in Fig. 9 recites additional limitations: (1) brightness data separation means and (2) correction means for correcting both the brightness data and the color data with the correction coefficients;
- -- for example, Claim 37 as shown in Fig. 10 recites additional limitation: characteristic amount detection means;
- -- for example, Claim 87 as shown in Fig. 27 recites additional limitation: said area discrimination means or said coefficient calculation means producing the discrimination results or the correction coefficients such that the resolution of the correction coefficients may be switched in response the pixel values of the image data.

Art Unit: 2624

As shown above, each of the combination as claimed recites additional distinct limitation(s) and does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability.

The subcombination has separate utility such as correcting distortion of an object based on other features than brightness. For example, the area discrimination means in Claim 1 can be used to discriminate shape or gradient of image intensity for correcting color distortion only related to the shape for correcting shape distortion.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- Species 1 corresponding to Fig. 9 to correct both the brightness data and the color data with the gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 31-56, if Species 1 being elected);
- Species 2 corresponding to Fig. 10 to correct image data based on property of the color information around the pixel to be corrected in a gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 57-86, if Species 2 being elected);

Art Unit: 2624

- Species 3 corresponding to Fig. 27 to correct image data with dynamically selected resolution based on the pixel values of the image data in a gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 87-116, if Species 3 being elected).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2624

٠. :

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. William Frommer around 5/7/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because Mr. Frommer was out of office.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 703 306-2796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on 703 308-7452. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/434,565

Art Unit: 2624

Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

May 16, 2003

Wenne